

AMENDED IN SENATE AUGUST 18, 2003

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2003–04 REGULAR SESSION

**ASSEMBLY BILL**

**No. 1783**

**Introduced by Committee on Higher Education (Liu (Chair), Nakanishi (Vice Chair), Berg, Bogh, Dymally, Shirley Horton, Jackson, Lowenthal, Matthews, Negrete McLeod, and Strickland)**

April 10, 2003

---

An act to ~~repeal Section 66756 of~~ *amend Section 66753 of, and to repeal Section 66756 of*, the Education Code, relating to public postsecondary education.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1783, as amended, Committee on Higher Education. Public postsecondary education: cross-enrollment.

Existing law, known as the Donahoe Higher Education Act, sets forth, among other things, the missions and functions of California's public and independent segments of higher education, and their respective institutions of higher education. Provisions of the act apply to the University of California only to the extent that the Regents of the University of California, by appropriate resolution, act to make a provision applicable. Among other things, the act identifies common educational missions shared by educational institutions in California and differentiates more specific missions and functions among the various educational segments. ~~A~~

A provision of the Donahoe Act, which is in effect until January 1, 2004, authorizes any student who is enrolled at any campus of the California Community Colleges, the California State University, or the

University of California and who meets certain requirements, to enroll, without formal admission, in a maximum of one course per academic term at a campus of either of the other public segments, on a space available basis at the discretion of the appropriate campus authorities on both campuses. *Existing law authorizes a host campus to charge participating students an administration fee, not to exceed \$10 per academic term.*

This bill would delete the January 1, 2004, repeal date of this provision, and thereby extend this program indefinitely. *The bill would also authorize a host campus to charge participating students an administration fee that may not exceed an amount sufficient for the campus to recover the full amount of the administrative costs it incurs under this program, rather than the \$10 limit in existing law.*

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. *Section 66753 of the Education Code is*  
2 *amended to read:*

3 66753. (a) (1) The Chancellor of the California Community  
4 Colleges, the Chancellor of the California State University, and the  
5 President of the University of California shall establish procedures  
6 so that a student meeting the requirements of Section 66752 may  
7 be certified by the home campus as to eligibility, residence, fee,  
8 financial aid, and health status.

9 ~~The~~

10 (2) *The* host campus may require the applicant to submit  
11 additional information as needed. The host campus may charge  
12 participating students an administration fee, not to exceed ~~ten~~  
13 ~~dollars (\$10) per academic term~~ *an amount sufficient for the*  
14 *campus to recover the full amount of the administrative costs it*  
15 *incurs under this chapter.*

16 (b) A student enrolled pursuant to this chapter shall be exempt  
17 from participation in the matriculation services described in  
18 Article 1 (commencing with Section 78210) of Chapter 2 of Part  
19 48.

20 SEC. 2. Section 66756 of the Education Code is repealed.

O